

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/758,792	01/16/2004	Masaharu Ichikawa	P1296US	6499	
1218	7590 09/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CASELLA & HESPOS			TRINH, I	TRINH, MINH N	
274 MADISON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3729		
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/758,792	ICHIKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minh Trinh	3729			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ıly 2006.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 15-18 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i) .		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/28/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 13-14 in the reply filed on 7/21/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Thus, Claims 1-12 and 15-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was filed on 7/21/06.

An Office Action on the merits of claims 13-14 as follows:

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: "Method for fitting waterproof plug over end portions of a wire"
- 3. The abstract should be revised to reflect the elected method invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3729

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al (5,743,002). Ito et al discloses a method for fitting cylindrical waterproof seal members over end portions of an electric wire comprising steps:

defining a seal cavity for receiving a cylindrical waterproof seal member at a predetermined fitting position on an axis line of an electric wire (see Fig. 1, which shows a holder 12 having cavities for housing a seal member 3);

feeding the seal member 3 into the seal cavity of the holder 12 at the fitting position with such a posture as to receive the electric wire by the compressed air (see Fig. 3, which shows air operating cylinders for positioning plug into its cavities under compression by air cylinder 19a-b);

bringing the seal member to a locked state in the seal cavity in which the seal member is prevented from moving toward the electric wire (see Fig. 1);

inserting the electric wire into the seal member in the locked state (see Fig. 2); and releasing the seal member from the locked state after the inserting step (see the transition from Figs, 5-6).

As applied to claim 14, fig. 3 of the applied reference shows that the air operating cylinders capable of performing the functions recited in claim 14 by horizontal arrows as shown in Fig. 3.

Art Unit: 3729

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. In an alternatively, Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (5,743,002) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA, Fig. 1, and the discussion in paragraph 0008 of the specification).

If it is argued that the Ito et al do not teach the use of a posture as to receive the electric wire by the compressed air. APA discloses that (see Fig. 1 and the discussion in page 4, paragraph 0008 about the compression air for feeding the seal onto the fitting position and the holder support block 5 as broadly as readable as the claimed posture of the present's claims). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teaching as taught by APA onto the method invention of Ito et al in for various known benefits that including positioning and fitting a plug into its fitting position in an effectively manner, facilitate operation would result.

Art Unit: 3729

Limitation of claim 14 is also met as the above discussion.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mt 9/13/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER